

Review form

https://journals.ur.edu.pl/jetacomps/about

Academic title, name and surname of the reviewer:						
Workplace and Tel.: Email:	nd contact details:					
Article title:						
Does the title	of the article correspond to its content?	□ Yes	□No			
Does the article fit the profile of the journal?		□ Yes	□ No	□ To the	e Editor's decision	
Does it meet the editorial requirements for author		□ Yes	□ No	□ To the	e Editor's decision	
Type:	☐ Scientific article ☐ R	eview article		☐ Another one that can be quoted		
Content structure:	 □ The article is well-written, clear, logical, and understandable. □ The article has formal deficiencies (no introduction, summary, conclusion, etc.)* □ The content is poorly organized and difficult to understand. 					
Language at terminology	d Language level: \Box	Very good/good	□ Ap	propriate	☐ Unsatisfactory	
	Scientific terminology: \Box	Very good/good	□Ap	propriate	☐ Unsatisfactory	
Wykresy:	□ Unnecessary	□ A	☐ Appropriately well illustrated		☐ Insufficient	
Tabele i rysu	i rysunki □ Unnecessary □ A		propriately well illustrated		☐ Insufficient	
References:	Up-to-date, appropriately selected		\square Yes	□ No		
Cited in accordance with editorial re-		quirements	\square Yes	□ No		
	Insufficient					
The reviewer recommends:						
□ Publish in full			☐ Remove deficiencies and reassess.			
\Box The work is not suitable for publication.						
Final declaration – assessment / Description of shortcomings and recommendations for the author:						
At the same time, I declare that there is no conflict of interest with regard to the author of the article, i.e. no direct personal relationships, professional subordination, or direct cooperation in the two years preceding the preparation of the review.						
* - it should be	e emphasized that					
day Rev			wer's signature:			